

Claims listing:

1. (currently amended) A method for transforming ~~test cases that are converted to an abstract representation and storing abstract representation of~~ generating platform-specific test cases scripts for an application into a database system, the method comprising:

~~storing test cases in abstract representations to generate test cases in any target environment script format to provide interoperability between automation tools and cross-environment portability of test cases;~~

~~importing~~ at least one test cases written in at least one or more scripting languages;

~~using semantic analysis to converting the~~ at least one test cases to an abstract representation using semantic analysis, wherein the abstract representation comprises at least three components, and wherein the at least three components include at least one that includes application state, at least one external interaction sequences, and input data ~~without changing or deleting an original test case;~~, and wherein the at least one application state is comprises at least one of: (a) a set of application objects associated with a set of attributes and their values, or (b) represents a runtime snapshot of an the application while under test which defines a context of external interaction,

~~using environment mappings to provide platform independence of test case and the abstract representation providing a platform independent representation of test case, the test cases being recombined and modified using external rules to combine and modify components of the abstract representation of test cases into new scripts;~~

~~storing~~ the abstract representation of test cases into a database system; and

~~generating~~ at least one platform-specific test scripts for multiple test execution environments based on the abstract representation using an environmental mapping.

2. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, wherein ~~an~~ the at least one application state ~~represents~~ comprises a representation of a runtime snapshot of the application while under test which defines the context of external interaction.

3. (currently amended) The method of claim 2, wherein the at least one application state includes comprises a set of application objects, its attributes of the application objects, and values of the attributes-values.
4. (currently amended) The method of claim 2, wherein the at least one application state is a plurality of application states, and the plurality of application states corresponding to a test case are arranged in a hierarchical manner.
5. (original) The method of claim 2, wherein the database system is a relational database management system.
6. (previously presented) The method of claim 2, wherein the database system is an extensible markup language (XML) database management system.
7. (currently amended) The method of claim 2, wherein the at least one scripting languages ~~can be~~ is at least one of a typed programming language or an untyped programming languages used for at least one of recording or authoring test cases.
8. (currently amended) The method of claim 2, wherein the at least one external interaction sequences ~~represent~~ comprises a representation of events invoked by external agents on the set of application objects.
9. (currently amended) The method of claim 8, wherein at least one external agents ~~are~~ is at least one of a human agents or ~~other~~ a software agents.
10. (currently amended) The method of claim 8, wherein the at least one interaction sequencing ~~includes~~ sequence comprises at least one flow control structures for capturing at least one of a sequential interaction, a concurrent interaction, a looping interaction, or a and conditional interactions.
11. (currently amended) The method of claim 2, further comprising:
implementing a at least one syntax analyzer for incoming scripts the at least one test case.

12. (currently amended) The method of claim 11, wherein the a distinct syntax analyzer is implemented ~~one~~ for each scripting language used in each test case.
13. (currently amended) The method of claim 12, wherein the at least one syntax analyzer ~~utilizes~~ comprises rules of syntax analysis that are specified provided in Extended Backus-Naur Form (EBNF).
14. (currently amended) The method of claim 12, further comprising generating at least one ~~wherein the syntax analyzer generates a parse tree in the form of an Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)~~ using the at least one syntax analyzer.
15. (currently amended) The method of claim 2, ~~further comprising:~~
 ~~implementing a~~ wherein the semantic analysis ~~that is used to~~ converts an ~~abstract syntax tree~~ Abstract Syntax Tree to an the abstract test case representation based on an Application Object Model (AOM).
16. (currently amended) The method of claim 15, wherein the semantic analysis is used to ~~decomposes the test cases represented as an Abstract Syntax Tree into~~ the at least one application state, the at least one external interaction sequences and the input data.
17. (currently amended) The method of claim 15, wherein ~~an application object model is the Application Object Model~~ comprises a metadata representation ~~for modeling of the application under test~~.
18. (currently amended) The method of claim 17, wherein the metadata representation ~~includes~~ comprises object type definitions for application objects.
19. (currently amended) The method of claim 17, wherein the metadata representation ~~includes~~ comprises attribute definitions for each type of application object type.
20. (currently amended) The method of claim 17, wherein the metadata representation ~~includes~~ comprises definitions of a plurality of methods and events that are supported by each type of application object type.

21. (currently amended) The method of claim 17, wherein the metadata representation includes comprises definitions of a plurality of effects of events on an application state.

22. (currently amended) The method of claim 18, wherein ~~application~~ the object type definitions ~~include additional categorization of each application object types into~~ comprise hierarchical object types, container object types, and simple object types.

23. (currently amended) The method of claim 22, wherein ~~the each~~ hierarchical object types ~~are~~ is associated with an a distinct application state of its own; and wherein each container object type comprises an application object types ~~that can~~ which is configured to contain instances of other application objects ~~are termed as container types~~.

24. (currently amended) The method of claim 23, wherein the state associated with a hierarchical application object type is at least one of a modal application state or a nonmodal application state.

25. (currently amended) The method of claim 24, wherein a the modal application state is configured to restricts possible interactions ~~to~~ with application object instances available within the a current application state.

26. (currently amended) The method of claim 22, wherein the effects of events on ~~an~~ the at least one application state capture at least one ~~or more~~ consequences of the events to the application state.

27. (currently amended) The method of claim 26, wherein a ~~the at least one~~ consequence of an event ~~is selected from,~~ comprises at least one of creation of a new object instance of a given type, deletion of an object instance of a given type, modification of attributes of an existing object instance, or and selection of an instance of an object type.

28. (currently amended) The method of claim 27, wherein the creation of a new object instance ~~of for an object of type that is a hierarchical object results in~~ comprises creation of a new application state.

29. (currently amended) The method of claim 27, wherein the selection of an object instance of an object type that is hierarchical results in comprises selection of the application state associated with ~~that object~~ the instance.

30. (currently amended) The method of claim 2, further comprising:
enriching the abstract representation of ~~test cases with~~ based on information from an application metadata repository.

31. (currently amended) The method of claim 30, wherein ~~the enrichment of abstraction~~ enriching the abstract representation of test cases involves comprises extracting values for those attributes of application objects associated with the at least one test cases that are missing in the incoming test scripts.

32. (currently amended) The method of claim 30, wherein enriching the abstraction abstract representation of test cases ~~includes~~ comprises decoupling of the at least one test cases from their at least one of an associated recording environment or an associated authoring environments.

33. (currently amended) The method of claim 30, wherein enriching the ~~abstraction~~ abstract representation of test cases ~~allows usage of~~ provides attributes that are stable within an application metadata representation.

34. (currently amended) The method of claim 33, wherein using at least one application object is identified by an identification field for ~~a given object~~ within the application metadata repository improves the reusability of a test case instead of a label used to represent the same object within a user interface which can change based on the locale of the application.

35. (currently amended) The method of claim 33 wherein ~~using an~~ the identification field allows to overcome the problem of different test execution environments using different attributes to identify the same application object provides platform independence of the abstract representation.

36. (currently amended) The method of claim 35, wherein enriching the abstraction abstract representation of test cases enables provides a representation of test cases that are is independent of any particular test execution environment independent.

37. (currently amended) The method of claim 2, further comprising:

separating application object attributes and input data from external interaction sequencing to provides automatic parameterization.

38. (currently amended) A system for transforming providing an abstract representation of at least one test cases to an abstract representation that are stored in a database, comprising:

a processor; for importing test cases written in one or more scripting languages;
a memory arrangement coupled to the processor, the memory arrangement
configured to store the at least one test case;

logic a first set of instructions which, when executed by the processor, configures
the processor to use that includes semantic analysis for to converting the at least one
test cases to an abstract representation, wherein the abstract representation comprises
at least three components, and the at least three components include that includes at
least one application state, at least one external interaction sequences, and input data;
~~and a database that stores test cases in abstract representations to generate test cases~~
~~in any target environment script format to provide interoperability between automation~~
~~tools and cross environment portability of test cases, and wherein~~ the application state
being comprises at least one of (a) a set of application objects associated with a set of
attributes and their values, or (b) represents a runtime snapshot of an the application
while under test which defines a context of external interaction,

~~the logic using~~ a second set of instructions which, when executed by the
processor, configures the processor to apply environment mappings providing platform
~~independence of test cases and to the abstract representation to provide at least one~~
~~test scripts are generated for multiple~~ configured to be executed in a particular one of a
plurality of test execution environments without changing or deleting an original test
case, the test cases being recombined and modified using external rules to combine
and modify components of the abstract representation of test cases into new scripts.

39. (currently amended) The system of claim 38, ~~further comprising:~~ wherein the at least one test case is converted using a syntax analyzer for incoming scripts.

40. (currently amended) The system of claim 38, ~~further comprising:~~

~~logic for implementing a~~ wherein the semantic analysis that is configured to converts the an abstract syntax tree to an the abstract test case representation based on an Application Object Model (AOM).

41. (canceled)

42. (currently amended) The system of claim 38, further comprising:

~~logic for enriching a~~ third set of instructions which, when executed by the processor, configures the processor to enrich the abstraction representation of test cases to enable provide a representation of the at least one test cases that are is independent of any particular test execution environment independent.

43. (currently amended) A computer system for storing an abstract representations of at least one test cases in a database, comprising:

a processor;

a memory arrangement coupled to the processor, wherein the memory arrangement is configured to store:

(i) the at least one test case, and

(ii) a first set of instructions which, when executed by the processor, configures the processor to converting the at least one test cases to an abstract representation, wherein the abstract representation comprises at least three components, and wherein the at least three components include that includes at least one application state, at least one external interaction sequences, and input data, and wherein the at least one application state being comprises at least one of (a) a set of application objects associated with a set of attributes and their values, or (b) represents a runtime snapshot of an application while under test which defines a context of external interaction, the test cases being recombined and modified using external rules to combine and modify components of the abstract representation of test cases into new scripts without changing or deleting an original test case, and

a database that configured to stores the abstract representation of test cases,
~~wherein environment mappings provide platform independence of test case and the~~
~~abstract representation provides a platform-independent representation of test case,~~
~~and wherein test scripts are generated for multiple test execution environments.~~

44. (currently amended) The system of claim 43, ~~further comprising:~~

wherein the first set of instructions comprises a syntax analyzer for incoming
scripts.

45. (currently amended) The system of claim 44, wherein the syntax analyzer is
configured to generates a parse tree in the form of an Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)
based on the at least one test case.

46. (currently amended) The system of claim 45, ~~further comprising:~~

logic wherein the first set of instructions, when executed by the processor, further
configures the processor to implement semantic analysis and convert the Abstract
Syntax Tree AST to an the abstract test case representation based on an Application
Object Model (AOM).

47. (currently amended) The system of claim 43, ~~further comprising:~~

logic wherein the first set of instructions, when executed by the processor, further
configures the processor to for enriching the abstract test case representation with
using information from an application metadata repository.

48. (currently amended) The system of claim 43, ~~further comprising:~~

logic for separating wherein the first set of instructions, when executed by the
processor, further configures the processor to separate the application-object attributes
and the input data from the at least one external interaction sequencing sequence to
provide automatic parameterization.

49. (cancelled).